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Abstract

This study explored the kinetics of metanil yellow (MY) dye biosorption onto the water hyacinth plant (*Eichhornia crassipes*) and its vegetative organs (leaves, roots, and stems). The water hyacinth’s leaves exhibited the highest capacity for and initial volumetric rate of MY biosorption, followed by the entire plant, roots, and stems. Modeling the kinetics for MY biosorption onto the entire plant and vegetative organs showed that the best agreement of experimental data was achieved with the pseudo-second-order kinetic model, suggesting that the rate-determining step in the overall reaction of MY biosorption onto the biosorbents might be chemisorption. Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy studies suggest that the amide I and II functional groups, which are present in the biosorbent proteins, participated in the biosorption of MY from aqueous solutions. A linear dependence of MY biosorption capacity at equilibrium on total protein content was observed, confirming that MY molecules bind to biosorbent proteins. Scanning electron and confocal laser scanning microscopy studies corroborated the presence of MY on the biosorbents’ surface.
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1. Introduction

Rapid technological and industrial development has exacerbated water pollution due to the accumulation of organic and inorganic compounds (Abhishek et al., 2018; Kitinya et al., 2017; Nakkeeran et al., 2018), including azo dyes. Azo dyes are the largest and most versatile class of dyes and are among the most hazardous chemical compounds in wastewaters from several industries, including textile, pharmaceutical, and cosmetics industries, among others (Padmanaban et al., 2018; Rojas-García et al., 2014; Saratale et al., 2011). Their chemical structure is characterized by the presence of one or more chromophoric azo groups (\(-\text{N}=\text{N}\)-), linked to aromatic structures containing functional groups such as -OH and -SO\(_3\)H (Rangabhashiyam et al., 2013; Rojas-García et al., 2014). Azo dyes have serious impacts on human health (Karthik et al., 2017; Yagub et al., 2014), and their reduction products include aromatic amines, which are similarly hazardous (Saratale et al., 2011; Yagub et al., 2014). Moreover, the improper discharge of industrial wastewaters containing azo dyes into surface waters adversely affects aquatic ecosystems (Guerrero-Coronilla et al., 2015; Karthik et al., 2018; Saratale et al., 2011).

Metanil yellow (MY) dye is a highly water-soluble, anionic sulfonated monoazo dye. Its chemical formula is C\(_{18}\)H\(_{14}\)N\(_3\)NaO\(_3\)S, and it has a molecular weight of 375.38 g mol\(^{-1}\) (Sathy et al., 2015). This dye is widely used for the coloring of silk, wool, and leather, amongst others (Anjane et al., 2011; Malik, 2003; Mittal et al., 2008). Despite being a non-permitted food dye, MY is frequently used for...
coloring sweet meats, ice creams, and beverages (Anjaneya et al., 2011; Mittal et al., 2008; Nath et al., 2015).

There is growing concern about the use of this dye, because it can cause serious health hazards, such as cyanosis, methemoglobinemia, neurotoxicity, carcinogenicity, and can alter the expression of genes, amongst others (Anjaneya et al., 2011; Malik, 2003; Nath et al., 2015). Therefore, the removal of MY from industrial and domestic wastewaters is crucial for protecting aquatic environments and species, as well as human health and welfare.

Nevertheless, the removal of azo dyes from water and wastewater by conventional physicochemical and biological technologies is problematic because these dyes are highly water-soluble, stable to heat, light, and oxidizing chemical agents, and recalcitrant to biodegradation due to their synthetic origin and complex aromatic structures; in addition, such technologies have significant drawbacks, including ineffective dye removal, high reagent and energy requirements, low selectivity, high capital and operating costs, low adaptability to a wide range of dye wastewaters, and/or the generation of secondary wastes that are difficult and expensive to remove or degrade (Guerrero-Coronilla et al., 2014, 2015). Biosorption technology has advantages over other technologies for treating dye-contaminated water and industrial wastewaters because of its enhanced efficacy and efficiency for removing dyes from diluted or concentrated solutions, environmental friendliness, low cost, readily available biosorbents, ease of implementation and operation, flexibility, simplicity of design, insensitivity to toxic pollutants, and lack of harmful byproducts (Gunasekar et al., 2017; Malik, 2003; Yagub et al., 2014).

The water hyacinth (Eichhornia crassipes, Pontederiaceae) is a freshwater, perennial, mat-forming, free-floating aquatic plant, of near worldwide distribution (Patel, 2012). Its rapid growth, ability to grow in a wide range of nutrient availability, temperatures, and pH levels, and persistence has made this species an invasive weed of increasing economic, public health, and environmental significance (Guna et al., 2017). Water hyacinth rapidly depletes nutrients and oxygen from water bodies, harbors disease-causing biological agents, threatens biodiversity, and hinders agriculture and aquaculture yields, amongst others (Guerrero-Coronilla et al., 2015; Guna et al., 2017; Patel, 2012; Sanmuga Priya and Senthamil Selvan, 2017). Water hyacinth biomass production exceeds the yield of most productive agricultural crops (Ibrahim et al., 2010), and this biomass can be used as an inexpensive, abundant, renewable, and sustainable biosorbent for removing toxic organic and inorganic pollutants from aqueous solutions (Guna et al., 2017; Mishra and Maiti, 2017).

The present study aimed to assess the biosorption potential of the entire water hyacinth plant and its vegetative organs (roots, leaves, and stems) for removing MY from aqueous solutions and to select the best one for this application. Furthermore, the key functional groups responsible for MY biosorption onto the biosorbents were identified by Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy. The morphological and surface characteristics of native (MY-unloaded) and MY-loaded biosorbents were examined using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) was used to visualize the presence of the MY dye on the biosorbents’ surface.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Biosorbent preparation

Fresh water hyacinth plants were collected from water channels in Xochimilco, Mexico City, Mexico, and washed thoroughly with distilled deionized water. The stems, leaves, and roots were cut off and separated from some plants, while other plants were kept intact. The stems (SEC), leaves (LEC), roots (REC), and entire plants (EEC) were oven-dried separately at 60 °C until the dry weight was constant. Subsequently, they were milled using a Glen Creston hammer mill, and the resulting particles were screened using ASTM standard sieves to obtain fractions with different particle sizes. The fraction with particle sizes of 0.15-0.3 mm was used. The sieved biosorbents (SEC, LEC, REC, and EEC), without any further chemical or physical treatment, were stored in airtight plastic containers until ready for use in the MY biosorption experiments.

2.2. Chemicals

MY dye was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich® Chemicals (St. Louis, MI, USA), and the other reagents were of analytical grade (J.T. Baker®, Mexico). The molecular structure of MY is shown in Fig. 1. A 1 g L⁻¹ MY stock solution was prepared by dissolving an accurately weighed amount of MY in distilled deionized water. Test MY solutions were prepared by diluting the MY stock solutions with distilled deionized water.

![Molecular structure of metanil yellow dye](image)

**Fig. 1.** Molecular structure of metanil yellow dye

2.3. Kinetic studies of MY biosorption and analytical method

Batch kinetic biosorption experiments were conducted to assess and compare the MY biosorption levels of SEC, LEC, REC, and EEC from aqueous
solutions. All the experiments were carried out in 500
mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing 120 mL 50 mg L−1
MY solution at pH 2.0 ± 0.1 and 1 g (dry weight) L−1
of biosorbent. Flasks were placed in a shaker (Cole
Parmer Instrument Company, Vernon Hills, IL, USA)
with a constant shaking speed of 120 rpm and a
temperature of 20 ± 1°C. Throughout the course of the
biosorption experiments, the pH of each solution was
maintained by periodic checking and adjusting with
0.1 M HCl or NaOH solutions when necessary.
To check for glass adsorption of MY, MY
photolysis, and/or MY precipitation, biosorbent-free
controls were run simultaneously under the same
conditions as those for the batch MY biosorption
experiments. Throughout the experiments, no change
in the MY concentration was detected in the controls,
indicating that the observed MY removal in the
biosorption experiments were due solely to the
biosorbents.
At different experimental times, liquid samples
were removed from the flasks, centrifuged at 3000
rpm for 5 min, and the biosorbent-free supernatants
were subsequently analyzed spectrophotometrically
for MY concentration at a wavelength of 434 nm using
an Evolution 201 UV-visible spectrophotometer
(Thermo Fisher Scientific, Whaltman, MA, USA).
The biosorption capacity of MY (qe, mg g−1)
represents the amount of MY removed at time t per
unit (dry weight) of biosorbent biomass, and was
estimated by Eq. (1) (Lopez-Nuñez et al., 2014):

\[
q_t = \frac{(C_0 - C_t)}{X}
\]  

(1) where C0 is the initial concentration of MY (mg L−1)
in the aqueous phase at time t0 = 0 h, Ct is the residual
MY concentration (mg L−1) at time t = t (h), and X is
the biosorbent concentration (g L−1).
The volumetric MY biosorption rate (VN, mg L−1 h−1) was calculated by Eq. (2) (Guerrero-Coronilla et
al., 2014):

\[
V_N = \frac{C_0 - C_t}{t - t_0}
\]  

(2)

All the batch biosorption experiments were
repeated three times, and this paper reports the mean
values. MY biosorption data were statistically
analyzed by a two-way analysis of variance, using
Tukey’s test with a 0.05 significance level. Statistical
analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism
software version 6.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La
Jolla, CA, USA).

2.4. Modeling of batch biosorption kinetics

Kinetic modeling of the batch biosorption
processes provides useful information on the
biosorption rate, rate-controlling steps, factors
affecting the biosorption rate and mechanism, and the
design and optimization of biosorption facilities on a
large scale (Wahab et al., 2010). In this study, the
kinetics of MY biosorption were analyzed using
pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order, Elovich,
fractional power, and intraparticle diffusion models.

Lagergren’s pseudo-first-order kinetic model is
expressed by Eq. (3) (Febrianto et al., 2009):

\[
q_t = q_{e1}(1 - e^{-k_1 t})
\]  

(3)

where qe and qe1 are the biosorption capacities (mg g−1)
at any time t (h) and at equilibrium, respectively,
and k1 is the pseudo-first-order model rate constant (h−1).

Ho’s pseudo-second-order kinetic model is
expressed by Eq. (4) (Ho and McKay, 1999):

\[
q_t = \frac{t}{1 + \frac{t}{k_2 q^2 e2}}
\]  

(4)

where qe is the biosorption capacity (mg g−1) at any
time t (h), qe2 is the equilibrium biosorption capacity
(mg g−1), and k2 is the pseudo-second-order model rate
constant (g mg−1 h−1).

The Elovich kinetic model is expressed by Eq.
(5) (Guerrero-Coronilla et al., 2014):

\[
q_t = \frac{1}{\beta e} \ln(1 + \alpha q e) + \frac{1}{\beta e} \ln(t)
\]  

(5)

where qe is the biosorption capacity (mg g−1) at any
time t (h), β is the desorption constant (g mg−1), and
α is the initial biosorption rate (mg g−1 h−1) during any
one experiment.
The fractional power kinetic model is given by
Eq. (6) (Guerrero-Coronilla et al., 2014):

\[
q_t = k_1 t^{v}
\]  

(6)

where qt is the biosorption capacity (mg g−1) at time t
(h), k1 is the fractional power model constant (mg g−1),
and v is the fractional power model rate constant (h−1).
The intraparticle diffusion model can be expressed by
Eq. (7) (El Nemr et al., 2015):

\[
q_t = k_{id} t^{0.5} + C
\]  

(7)

where qt is the biosorption capacity (mg g−1) at any
time t (h), k_{id} is the intraparticle diffusion rate constant
(mg g−1 h0.5), and C is the model intercept, which is
related to the boundary layer thickness.

The GraphPad Prism software version 6.0
(GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) was
used to estimate all the kinetic model parameters by
nonlinear regression analysis of the experimental data.
The best-fit model was selected by the highest
coefficient of determination (R2), the lowest residual
or sum of squared error (SSE), root-mean-square error
(RMSE) or standard error values, and the narrowest
95% confidence intervals.
2.5. FTIR spectroscopy analysis

FTIR spectroscopy was used to obtain information on the functional groups present on the surfaces of the biosorbents (SEC, LEC, REC, and EEC) and to identify possible modifications of biosorption active sites on the biosorbents during MY biosorption (Wahab et al., 2010). Biosorbent samples (1 g L⁻¹) were mixed with 200 mg L⁻¹ MY solutions at pH 2.0 for 24 h, with constant agitation at 120 rpm and 20 ± 1 °C to saturate the biosorption binding sites with MY molecules. All the suspensions were then subjected to centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 5 min, and the pellets collected were washed with distilled deionized water for removing the unbound MY molecules. The resulting suspensions were subsequently centrifuged to pellet the biosorbents biomass. Next, MY-loaded biosorbents were dried at 105 °C until the dry weight was constant in order to ensure that the biosorbents did not retain water that could interfere with the observation of hydroxyl functional groups on their surface. Finely ground MY-unloaded (native) and MY-loaded biosorbents were mixed with dried spectroscopy KBr in a 1:5 ratio. The resulting mixture was pressed with a pressure of 10 t for 2 min to yield pellets, which were analyzed by diffuse reflectance FTIR spectroscopy using a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum 2000 spectrometer. The FTIR spectra were run in the range of wavenumbers from 4000 to 400 cm⁻¹ with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ with 16 scans.

2.6. SEM analysis

To analyze the morphological features and surface characteristics of native and MY-loaded REC, SEC, LEC, and EEC, micrographs were obtained using a JEOL SEM, JSM 7800, at an accelerating voltage of 15 kV after gold coating.

2.7. CLSM analysis

The non-destructive technique of CLSM was employed to observe the presence of MY on the surface of the MY-loaded biosorbents. CLSM imaging of each MY-loaded biosorbent was performed in a Carl Zeiss LSM 710 NLO laser scanning confocal/multiphoton microscope, which is equipped with a 20×/0.8 Plan-Apochromat objective lens. The excitation wavelengths were 405, 488, 561, and 633 nm with laser powers of 35, 2.0, 2.0, and 2.0%, respectively; the detection range was 419–730 nm. The MY-loaded biosorbents were mounted on coverslips and observed directly under the confocal microscope, obtaining two-dimensional images.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Batch biosorption kinetics of MY onto experimental biosorbents

Fig. 2 shows the variations in residual concentration, volumetric biosorption rate, and biosorption capacity of MY regarding experimental biosorption time for all the experimental biosorbents (SEC, LEC, REC, and EEC). The MY concentration in solution gradually decreased from approximately 45 mg L⁻¹ to 17.5, 13.56, 10.42, and 1.86 mg L⁻¹ as experimental biosorption time increased from 0 to 72 h for SEC, REC, EEC, and LEC, respectively. These results show that the entire water hyacinth plant and its vegetative organs have the capacity of biosorbing MY from aqueous solutions, but they differ significantly in their extent of biosorption (p < 0.05).

The volumetric MY biosorption rates of the assayed biosorbents decreased rapidly during the first 10 min of contact. The decrease was slower from 10 to 60 min, and as experimental time proceeded to 72 h, the volumetric MY biosorption rates became negligible (Fig. 2b).

These results indicate that, regardless of the biosorbent assayed, the biosorption of MY was initially rapid and gradually decreased until equilibrium was reached. The high MY biosorption rate obtained within the first minutes of contact may be due to (1) the high MY concentration in solution, which increased the driving force of the MY concentration gradient that facilitates fast transfer of MY molecules to the biosorbents’ surface (Guerrero-Coronilla et al., 2015) and (2) to a large number of unoccupied biosorption binding sites on the biosorbents’ surface (Lim et al., 2015). The subsequent progressive decrease in the number of vacant binding sites, together with the decreased MY concentration in solution, resulted in reduced MY biosorption rates, until the biosorption rate of MY molecules finally became zero when equilibrium was reached (Moyo et al., 2017).

The highest initial volumetric rate of MY biosorption was obtained with LEC (501.34 mg L⁻¹ h⁻¹), followed by EEC (443.07 mg L⁻¹ h⁻¹), REC (435.19 mg L⁻¹ h⁻¹), and SEC (307.96 mg L⁻¹ h⁻¹). Furthermore, Fig. 2c indicates that the MY biosorption capacity of all the assayed biosorbents increased as the experimental biosorption time increased until the biosorption capacity reached a maximum constant value that corresponded to the equilibrium biosorption capacity (qe) value. The highest MY biosorption capacity was obtained with LEC (43.5 mg g⁻¹), followed by EEC (34.56 mg g⁻¹), REC (30.27 mg g⁻¹), and SEC (27.5 mg g⁻¹).

The contact times required to reach equilibrium (tₜₑₓₚ) were 2, 3, 4 and 4 h for REC, SEC, LEC, and EEC, respectively (Table 1). LEC and EEC needed a longer contact time to attain equilibrium than REC and SEC did, and this may be because they biosorbed larger amounts of MY than did REC and SEC. The equilibrium biosorption times of REC, SEC, EEC, and LEC were similar to those reported for MY adsorption by Mg-Fe-NO₃ layered double hydroxide (Nejati et al., 2011), bottom ash, de-oiled soya (Mittal et al., 2008), and chitosan-immobilized aquatic weed (Sivashankar et al., 2013).
Biosorption of metanil yellow dye from aqueous solutions by the entire water hyacinth plant

Fig. 2. Dependence of residual concentration (a), volumetric biosorption rate (b), and biosorption capacity (c) of metanil yellow on shaking contact time for the leaves (●), roots (□), and stems (▲) of *Eichhornia crassipes* as well as the whole plant (○)

Table 1. Parameters of pseudo-first-order kinetic model for metanil yellow biosorption onto the entire *Eichhornia crassipes* plant and its vegetative organs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biosorbent</th>
<th>$t_{e \exp}$ (h)</th>
<th>$q_{e \exp}$ (mg g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$q_{e1}$ (mg g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k_1$ (h$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>SSE</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEC</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>42.87 ± 0.15</td>
<td>41.38 ± 0.465</td>
<td>3.221 ± 0.217</td>
<td>0.899</td>
<td>1511</td>
<td>3.830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>29.66 ± 0.10</td>
<td>28.61 ± 0.291</td>
<td>4.060 ± 0.265</td>
<td>0.909</td>
<td>620.6</td>
<td>2.455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25.50 ± 0.15</td>
<td>25.08 ± 0.201</td>
<td>1.993 ± 0.084</td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td>253.2</td>
<td>1.568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEC</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>34.29 ± 0.16</td>
<td>31.24 ± 0.345</td>
<td>2.518 ± 0.155</td>
<td>0.917</td>
<td>787.5</td>
<td>2.765</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*EEC: entire E. crassipes plant; LEC: leaves of E. crassipes; REC: roots of E. crassipes; SEC: stems of E. crassipes; $t_{e \exp}$: equilibrium time; $k_1$: pseudo-first-order model rate constant; $q_{e \exp}$: equilibrium biosorption capacity; $q_{e1}$: biosorption capacity at equilibrium; $R^2$: coefficient of determination; RMSE: root-mean-square error; SSE: sum of squared error*

It provides useful information on the efficiency and efficacy of a biosorbent to adsorb a particular adsorbate and ultimately on the potential success of the biosorbent in practical applications (Lim et al., 2015).

The above results show that water hyacinth leaves were more appropriate for MY biosorption from aqueous solutions than the roots, stems, and even the entire plant were. Similarly, water hyacinth leaves were found to be more suitable for the biosorption of amaranth azo dye from aqueous solutions, followed by the whole plant, roots, and stems (Guerrero-Coronilla et al., 2014).

Comparing different biosorption systems for the removal of a target pollutant from aqueous solutions is difficult due to diverse operating conditions used in experiments. Nonetheless, we compared the MY biosorption capacity of SEC, REC, LEC, and EEC with that of several adsorbents reported in the literature. Results of the comparison made at similar initial MY concentrations indicate that the experimental MY biosorption capacity of LEC was similar to that reported for Mg-Fe-NO$_3$ layered double hydroxide (42.72 mg g$^{-1}$; Nejati et al., 2011) and significantly higher than that obtained for bottom ash (4.77 mg g$^{-1}$; Mittal et al., 2008), de-oiled soya (3.66 mg g$^{-1}$; Mittal et al., 2008), and chitosan-immobilized aquatic weed (9.91 mg g$^{-1}$; Sivashankar et al., 2013). Furthermore, the MY biosorption capacity of SEC, REC, and EEC was higher than that obtained for bottom ash, de-oiled soya (Mittal et al., 2008), and chitosan-immobilized aquatic weed (Sivashankar et al., 2013) but lower than that for Mg-Fe-NO$_3$ layered double hydroxide (Nejati et al., 2011). Therefore, its low cost, renewable nature, widespread availability, and effective MY biosorption make LEC an attractive
and useful biosorbent for the detoxification of MY-contaminated water and industrial wastewater.

3.2. Modeling of MY biosorption kinetics

In this study, the kinetic curves of MY biosorption onto REC, SEC, LEC, and EEC were simulated with the pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order, Elovich, fractional power, and intraparticle diffusion kinetic models. Tables 1-3 present the experimental values of equilibrium time ($t_{eq}$) and equilibrium biosorption capacity ($q_{te}$) as well as the kinetic parameter values of the pseudo-first-order ($k_1$ and $q_{te}$) and pseudo-second-order ($k_2$ and $q_{te}$), Elovich ($\alpha$ and $\beta$) models (Table 2), fractional power ($k_i$ and $v$), and intraparticle diffusion ($k_{id}$ and $C$) models (Table 3), along with the corresponding values of the error functions ($R^2$, RMSE, and SSE) applied in this study.

For all the biosorbents assayed, the pseudo-second-order kinetic model yielded the highest $R^2$ and the lowest RMSE and SSE values of the five tested kinetic models, indicating that MY biosorption followed pseudo-second-order kinetics. Indeed, the pseudo-second-order model successfully described the kinetic profiles of MY biosorption throughout the biosorption period (solid lines in Fig. 2c), and the predicted MY biosorption capacity values at equilibrium ($q_{te}$; Table 2) were close to those determined experimentally ($q_{te,exp}$; Table 1). The good fit between the MY biosorption kinetics and the pseudo-second-order model suggests that the biosorption process of MY onto REC, SEC, LEC, and EEC has a chemical process (chemisorption) as the rate-controlling step (Abhishek et al., 2018; Karthik et al., 2017, 2018), involving valence forces through the exchange or sharing of electrons between the biosorbents’ surface and MY molecules (Febrianto et al., 2019; Puentes-Cárdenas et al., 2012). Nejati et al.’s (2011) study on the adsorption of MY onto Mg-Fe-NO$_3$ layered double hydroxide also found that the biosorption process follows pseudo-second-order kinetics. Contrastingly, the MY biosorption kinetics onto bottom ash, de-oiled soya (Mittal et al., 2008), and chitosan-immobilized aquatic weed followed the pseudo-first-order model (Sivashankar et al., 2013).

3.3. FTIR spectroscopy studies

FTIR spectroscopy studies were conducted to identify surface functional groups that are capable of biosorbing MY molecules. For this purpose, the FTIR spectra of native biosorbents were compared with those of MY-loaded biosorbents (Fig. 3). The peak positions of the major infrared (IR) absorption bands are summarized in Table 4. Fig. 3 shows that the FTIR spectra of native biosorbents displayed a number of absorption bands within the interval from 4000 to 400 cm$^{-1}$ wavenumbers, reflecting the complex chemical nature of the biosorbents.

FTIR spectra of native LEC, REC, SEC, and EEC biosorbents showed a broad absorption band at 3600-3000 cm$^{-1}$, which is attributable to the stretching vibration of -OH and -NH functional groups engaged in hydrogen bonds. This absorption band has been associated with the vibrations of the linked hydroxy groups in cellulose and lignin present in lignocellulosic materials as well as water adsorbed on their surface (Zou et al., 2013).

Water hyacinth is composed mainly of lignocellulose (cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin), which is hygroscopic and has an affinity for water that is able to permeate the non-crystalline portion of cellulose and all of the hemicellulose and lignin (Bhattacharya et al., 2015). The -NH stretching band in the FTIR spectrum of native biosorbents also suggested the presence of amino acids (Gusain and Suthar, 2017), which are the basic units of proteins. In this respect, it has been reported that the total protein content of the leaves, stems, roots, and whole plant of water hyacinth is 33.34, 20.78, 22.26, and 25.26%, respectively (Guerrero-Coronilla et al., 2014).

Likewise, the FTIR spectra of all native biosorbents revealed a large number of absorption bands related to functional groups that are present in holocellulose, such as the C-H deformation in hemicelluloses at approximately 1320 cm$^{-1}$ (Manivannan and Narendhirakannan, 2015), the C-O stretching in cellulose, hemicellulose, and proteins at approximately 1420 cm$^{-1}$ (Gusain and Suthar, 2017).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biosorbent</th>
<th>Pseudo-second-order</th>
<th>Ellovich</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$q_{te}$ (mg g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$k_2$ (g mg$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEC</td>
<td>43.07 ± 0.347</td>
<td>0.135 ± 0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REC</td>
<td>29.58 ± 0.230</td>
<td>0.263 ± 0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC</td>
<td>26.46 ± 0.138</td>
<td>0.122 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEC</td>
<td>32.78 ± 0.278</td>
<td>0.129 ± 0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Parameters of pseudo-second-order and Elovich kinetic models for metanil yellow biosorption onto the entire Eichhornia crassipes plant and its vegetative organs.

EEC: entire E. crassipes plant; LEC: leaves of E. crassipes; REC: roots of E. crassipes; SEC: stems of E. crassipes; $\alpha$: initial biosorption rate; $\beta$: desorption constant; $k_2$: pseudo-second-order model rate constant; $q_{te}$: biosorption capacity at equilibrium; $R^2$: coefficient of determination; RMSE: root-mean-square error; SSE: sum of squared error
### Table 3. Parameters of fractional power and intraparticle diffusion kinetic models for metanil yellow biosorption onto the entire *Eichhornia crassipes* plant and its vegetative organs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biosorbent</th>
<th>Constant ($k_p$) (mg g(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Rate constant ($v$) (h(^{-1}))</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>SSE</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>Intraparticle diffusion</th>
<th>Rate constant ($k_d$) (mg g(^{-1}) h(^{-0.5}))</th>
<th>$C$ (mg g(^{-1}))</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>SSE</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>$k_d$ (mg g(^{-1}) h(^{-0.5}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEC</td>
<td>32.31 ± 0.525</td>
<td>0.108 ± 0.007</td>
<td>0.776</td>
<td>1952</td>
<td>4.463</td>
<td>3.445 ± 0.848</td>
<td>26.65 ± 2.635</td>
<td>0.387</td>
<td>9133</td>
<td>9.417</td>
<td>26.65 ± 2.635</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REC</td>
<td>23.07 ± 0.386</td>
<td>0.096 ± 0.007</td>
<td>0.712</td>
<td>1073</td>
<td>3.310</td>
<td>2.145 ± 0.599</td>
<td>19.38 ± 1.860</td>
<td>0.329</td>
<td>4556</td>
<td>6.651</td>
<td>19.38 ± 1.860</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC</td>
<td>17.73 ± 0.459</td>
<td>0.139 ± 0.010</td>
<td>0.726</td>
<td>1438</td>
<td>3.830</td>
<td>2.453 ± 0.583</td>
<td>14.04 ± 1.805</td>
<td>0.404</td>
<td>4316</td>
<td>6.473</td>
<td>14.04 ± 1.805</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEC</td>
<td>23.22 ± 0.410</td>
<td>0.127 ± 0.007</td>
<td>0.809</td>
<td>1164</td>
<td>3.446</td>
<td>2.880 ± 0.639</td>
<td>19.08 ± 2.020</td>
<td>0.448</td>
<td>4828</td>
<td>6.983</td>
<td>19.08 ± 2.020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EEC: entire E. crassipes plant; LEC: leaves of E. crassipes; REC: roots of E. crassipes; SEC: stems of E. crassipes; $k_p$: fractional power model constant; $k_d$: intraparticle diffusion rate constant; $v$: fractional power model rate constant; $C$: intraparticle diffusion model intercept; $R^2$: coefficient of determination; RMSE: root-mean-square error; SSE: sum of squared error.

### Table 4. Summary of IR spectral bands for native and MY-loaded biosorbents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IR band frequency (cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Functional group assignment</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Native biosorbents</td>
<td>MY-loaded biosorbents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEC 3349</td>
<td>REC 3336</td>
<td>SEC 3394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32928</td>
<td>2931</td>
<td>2927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1659</td>
<td>1659</td>
<td>1643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1544</td>
<td>1547</td>
<td>1543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1441</td>
<td>1430</td>
<td>1417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1320</td>
<td>1323</td>
<td>1322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1248</td>
<td>1253</td>
<td>1248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1164</td>
<td>1108</td>
<td>1106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- O-H stretching
- N-H stretching
- CH\(_2\) asymmetric vibration
- Amide I C=O stretching
- Amide II N-H bending
- C-O stretching
- C-H deformation
- -SO\(_3\) stretching
- C-O-C vibration

Also, the stretching vibration of -SO\(_3\) of hemicelluloses appeared at about 1250 cm\(^{-1}\) (Wahab et al., 2010). The bands at around 1100 cm\(^{-1}\) are attributed to the vibration of C-O-C groups (Guerrero-Coronilla et al., 2014). The absorption bands of CH\(_2\) asymmetric stretching vibration at approximately 2930–2920 cm\(^{-1}\), amide I C=O stretching at around 1660–1640 cm\(^{-1}\), and amide II N–H bending at about 1550–1540 cm\(^{-1}\) (Manivannan and Narendhirakannan, 2015; Zou et al., 2013) were also found in the FT-IR spectra of all native biosorbents. The amide I and II functional groups detected in the FT-IR spectra were...
most likely present in water hyacinth proteins. The last portions of the spectra, which corresponded to the fingerprint region (1500–400 cm$^{-1}$), revealed absorption peaks that could be attributed to the vibration of metal oxides (Ibrahim et al., 2010).

The absorption spectra of MY-loaded biosorbents displayed several changes compared to those of native biosorbents. Specifically, the spectra displayed decreases in the amplitude, changes in the intensity, and/or shifting of absorption bands. These changes are indicative of interactions between MY and the functional groups present on the biosorbents’ surface (Khan et al., 2012).

An important change was the decreased absorption band amplitude in the 3600–3000 cm$^{-1}$ region, which may have resulted from a diminution in the hydrogen bonds between MY molecules and the -OH and -NH functional groups of the biosorbents; wavenumber shifts in the absorption bands of C-H, amide I C=O, and amide II N-H functional groups were also observed. According to Mishra et al. (2014), shifts in different absorption bands after biosorption are indicative of interactions between the functional groups and adsorbate. Furthermore, the relative intensity of the characteristic amide I (1660-1640 cm$^{-1}$) absorption bands decreased, whereas the amide II (1550-1540 cm$^{-1}$) bands were better defined after biosorption; these changes may indicate the involvement of amide I and II functional groups in the biosorption of MY molecules. Better-defined absorption bands were also observed at 1740–1710 cm$^{-1}$ in the FTIR spectra of MY-loaded biosorbents, which indicate the reaction between MY molecules and the carbonyl and/or carboxyl functional groups present in some biopolymers, such as proteins and lignin (Guerrero-Coronilla et al., 2014). FTIR results indicate that hydroxyl, carbonyl, and amide functional groups participated in MY biosorption by SEC, REC, LEC, and EEC. These functional groups are present in polysaccharides, lignin, and/or proteins present in the biosorbents. We have previously reported on the chemical proximate (total ash, total protein, ether extract, crude fiber, and nitrogen-free extract) composition of the whole water hyacinth plant and its vegetative organs (Guerrero-Coronilla et al., 2014). Taking the chemical composition reported in that study and the MY biosorption capacity from the present study for each biosorbent, we discovered a linear dependence of MY biosorption capacity at equilibrium on total protein content ($R^2 = 0.97$; Fig. 4).

Contrastingly, no linear relationship was found between total ash, ether extract, nitrogen-free extract, or crude fiber and equilibrium MY biosorption capacity (data not shown). These results provide evidence that proteins play an essential role in the biosorption of MY from aqueous solutions by SEC, REC, LEC, and EEC. They also indicate that the higher the protein content of the vegetative organ or whole water hyacinth plant is, the higher the number of amide functional groups is, and, consequently, the higher the MY biosorption capacity is. Similar behavior has been reported for the biosorption of amaranth dye by the whole water hyacinth plant and its leaves, roots, and stems (Guerrero-Coronilla et al., 2014). Moreover, it has been shown that sulfonic acid dyes, such as MY, bind to proteins in acidic solutions as a result of (1) the electrostatic attraction between the positively charged groups (e.g., amide functional groups) of proteins and the negatively charged sulfonic groups of dyes, and (2) hydrophobic interactions between the sulfonic acid dyes and the polypeptide chain backbone (Saeed et al., 2013; Tal et al., 1985).

3.4. SEM studies

The physical surface morphology of the biosorbents before and after MY biosorption was evaluated by SEM micrographs. Figs. 5 and 6 display the SEM images of MY-unloaded (native) and MY-loaded biosorbents. These micrographs show that the surfaces of native LEC, REC, SEC, and EEC biosorbents had a rough, fibrous, and porous structure (Figs. 5a, 5c, 6a, and 6c), with pores of various shapes and sizes. Furthermore, the morphology of all biosorbents could facilitate the biosorption of MY due to the irregularities of their structure, enabling MY biosorption in different parts of each experimental biosorbent. After the MY biosorption process, the surface morphology of the biosorbents changed, becoming more complex, rough, and heterogeneous (Figs. 5b, 5d, 6b, and 6d). Similarly, studies have reported that the surface morphology of other biosorbents also change after the biosorption of dyes (Safa and Bhatti, 2011; Saha, 2010).

3.5 CLSM analysis

CLSM is a powerful and non-destructive optical method for visualizing the structure of biopolymer mixtures, in which optical contrast is obtained by differences in fluorescence (Van de Velde et al., 2003). The auto-fluorescence of plant cells is
mainly due to the presence of lignin and chlorophylls (De Micco and Aronne, 2007). In this study, the CLSM technique was used to confirm the presence of MY on the surface of the assayed biosorbents.

Figs. 7 and 8 show CLSM images of MY-loaded LEC (Figs. 7a-7c), REC (Figs. 7d-7f), SEC (Figs. 8a-8c), and EEC (Figs. 8d-8f). The auto-fluorescence of MY (red color; Figs. 7a, 7d, 8a, and 8d) on the surface of the biosorbents and the auto-fluorescence of lignin and/or chlorophylls (green color; Figs. 7b, 7e, 8b, and 8e) can be observed.

Furthermore, the interactions between MY and the biosorbents’ surfaces are shown in two-dimensional overlay images (Figs. 7c, 7f, 8c, and 8f), which show the combination of both auto-fluorescence emissions. These results further confirm that MY bound to the surface of all the assayed biosorbents.

Fig. 5. Scanning electron micrographs of native (a, c) and metanil yellow–loaded (b, d) *Eichhornia crassipes* leaves (a, b) and roots (c, d)

Fig. 6. Scanning electron micrographs of native (a, c) and metanil yellow–loaded (b, d) *Eichhornia crassipes* stems (a, b) as well as the entire plant (c, d)
Fig. 7. Confocal laser scanning micrographs of metanil yellow (MY)-loaded *Eichhornia crassipes* leaves (a, b, c) and roots (d, e, f). Auto-fluorescence of MY is shown in red color (a, d), lignin and/or chlorophylls in green color (b, e), and interaction between the MY and biosorbents in two-dimensional overlay images (c, f).

Fig. 8. Confocal laser scanning micrographs of metanil yellow (MY)-loaded *Eichhornia crassipes* stems (a, b, c) and the entire plant (d, e, f). Auto-fluorescence of MY is shown in red color (a, d), lignin and/or chlorophylls in green color (b, e), and interaction between the MY and biosorbents in two-dimensional overlay images (c, f).
Biosorption of metanil yellow dye from aqueous solutions by the entire water hyacinth plant

4. Conclusions

The biosorption performance of the entire water hyacinth plant and its vegetative organs for removing the toxic dye MY from aqueous solutions was investigated in terms of kinetics. The best performance for biosorptive removal of MY from aqueous solutions was shown by the water hyacinth’s leaves.

The biosorption kinetics of MY onto the biosorbents were described best with the pseudo-second-order model. Proteins play an essential role in the biosorption of MY by the water hyacinth. The presence of MY on the biosorbents’ surface was proven by SEM and CLSM. The water hyacinth’s leaves exhibited remarkable potential for application in detoxification of MY-contaminated aqueous solutions.
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